UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America,

No. 17-cr-20465

Hon. Denise Page Hood

V.

Offense:

18 U.S.C. § 1349

18 U.S.C. § 1957

D-1 Mashiyat Rashid,

Defendant.

Maximum Sentence:

18 U.S.C. § 1349: 20 years / \$250,000

fine or twice the gain/loss

18 U.S.C. § 1957: 10 years / \$250,000

fine or twice the gain/loss

Rule 11 Plea Agreement

Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, defendant Mashiyat Rashid (the "defendant" or "Rashid") and the United States agree as follows:

1. **GUILTY PLEA**

A. Count of Conviction

The defendant will enter a plea of guilty to Counts One and Nine of the Superseding Indictment. Count One charges Conspiracy to Commit Health Care Fraud and Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, and for which the penalty is a statutory maximum of 20 years' imprisonment, a fine that is greater of

\$250,000 or twice the pecuniary gain or loss pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571, and a three-year term of supervised release.

Count 9 charges Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957, and for which the penalty is a statutory maximum of 10 years' imprisonment, a fine that is greater of \$250,000 or twice the pecuniary gain or loss pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571, and a three-year term of supervised release.

B. Elements of Offense

As set forth in Count One of the Superseding Indictment, the defendant is charged with conspiring to violate the health care fraud and wire fraud statutes. The elements of Count One are as follows:

First: That two or more persons conspired, or agreed, to commit the

crime of health care fraud or wire fraud; and

Second: That the defendant knowingly and voluntarily joined the

conspiracy.

The health care fraud statute, 18 U.S.C. § 1347, makes it a federal offense for anyone, in connection with the delivery of any health care benefits, items, or services, to knowingly and willfully execute, or attempt to execute, a scheme or artifice: (1) to defraud any health care benefit program; or (2) to obtain, by means of materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, any of the money or property owned by, or under the custody or control of, any health care benefit program.

The wire fraud statute, 18 U.S.C. § 1343, makes it a federal offense for anyone, having devised any scheme or artifice to defraud, to transmit or cause to be transmitted in interstate or foreign commerce a wire communication for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice.

As set forth in Count Nine of the Superseding Indictment, the defendant is charged with engaging money laundering. The elements of Count Nine are as follows:

First: The defendant must knowingly engage or attempt to engage in a

monetary transaction;

Second: The defendant must know that the transaction involved

criminally derived property;

Third: The criminally derived property must be of a value greater than

\$10,000;

Fourth: The criminally derived property must also, in fact, have been

derived from a specified unlawful activity; and

Fifth: The monetary transaction must have taken place in the United

States.

C. Factual Basis for Guilty Plea

The following facts are a sufficient and accurate basis for the defendant's guilty plea:

Beginning in or around 2008 and continuing through on or about July 12, 2017, Rashid knowingly and willfully conspired with others to devise a scheme to

defraud Medicare, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, and to commit wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343. Medicare is a "health care benefit program" of the United States, as defined by 18 U.S.C. § 24, and affects commerce.

Rashid was the CEO of Tri-County Wellness and controlled, owned, and operated a network of medical providers in Michigan and Ohio, including Global Quality Inc., Aqua Therapy and Pain Management, Inc., Tri-County Physician Group, P.C., Tri-State Physician Group, P.C., New Center Medical, P.C., National Laboratories, Inc., and Nat Lab, Inc. (collectively referred to as the Tri-County Network).

As CEO of the Tri-County Network, Rashid conspired with Spilios Pappas ("Pappas"), Joseph Betro ("Betro"), Tariq Omar ("Omar"), Mohammed Zahoor ("Zahoor"), Francisco Patino ("Patino"), and other co-conspirators to unlawfully enrich themselves by, among other things: (a) submitting or causing the submission of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare for claims based on kickbacks and bribes; (b) submitting or causing the submission of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare for services that were (i) medically unnecessary; (ii) not eligible for Medicare reimbursement; and/or (iii) not provided as represented; (c) concealing the submission of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare and the receipt and transfer of the proceeds from the fraud; and (d) diverting proceeds of the fraud for the personal use and benefit of the defendants and their co-conspirators.

Rashid obtained patients for the Tri-County Network by hiring physicians – including Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, and Patino – to prescribe medically unnecessary controlled substances, including Oxycodone, Hydrocodone, and Oxymorphone, to Tri-County Network beneficiaries, some of whom were addicted to opioids. Rashid hired physicians to work only a few days a week in order to limit the number of each physician's aggregate amount of opioid prescriptions and evade detection by law enforcement. Rashid also obtained patients by paying or causing the payment of illegal kickbacks and bribes to (i) Medicare beneficiaries; and (ii) recruiters, physicians, and others to refer Medicare beneficiaries to, or order testing by, the Tri-County Network.

Rashid was aware that many of the patients who attended the medical clinics in the Tri-County Network were particularly vulnerable due to age, economic circumstances, medical condition, or opioid addiction. Rashid, Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino, and others exploited this vulnerable patient population by making medical treatment decisions based on financial reimbursement, regardless of medical necessity. Rashid paid bribes to Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino, and others to make medical treatment decisions based on financial reimbursement, regardless of medical necessity, including by paying Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino, and others based on the volume and value of certain services that each billed or caused to be billed by the Tri-County Network, regardless of medical necessity.

Rashid and others set forth a medical protocol for the Tri-County Network.

The medical protocol was that doctors prescribed opioids and other controlled substances, but required patients to submit to facet joint injections and other injections in exchange for the opioids and other controlled substances. These facet joint injections and other injections were expensive, medically unnecessary, not eligible for Medicare reimbursement, and sometimes painful.

Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino and others in the Tri-County Network agreed to administer and did administer this protocol. Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino and others prescribed opioids and required vulnerable Medicare beneficiaries, including those addicted to opioids, to submit to expensive injections, even though the injections were medically unnecessary, sometimes painful, not eligible for Medicare reimbursement, and/or not provided as represented.

From in or around 2011 to in or around July 12, 2017, as part of the medical protocol, Rashid and others also required patients to provide a urine sample for testing. Pursuant to this protocol, Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino and other physicians in the Tri-County Network also agreed to and did order quantitative urine drug testing for all or nearly all of their patients, even though that testing was medically unnecessary, not eligible for Medicare reimbursement, and/or not provided as represented.

From in or around 2008 to in or around July 12, 2017, Rashid also solicited illegal kickbacks and bribes from individuals associated with US Home, Vitality, and Senior Link for physical therapy and home health referrals.

From in or around 2012 to in or around 2014, Rashid, Patino, and others solicited illegal kickbacks and bribes from individuals associated with Laboratory 1, Laboratory 2, and Marketing Company 1, in exchange for the referral of patients from the Tri-County Network and the ordering of urine drug testing to be conducted by Laboratory 1 and Laboratory 2.

Rashid and others also solicited illegal kickbacks and bribes from individuals associated with Laboratory 3, in exchange for the referral of patients from the Tri-County Network and the ordering of blood work testing to be conducted by Laboratory 3. Rashid paid illegal kickbacks and bribes to Physician 1 and Physician 2 in exchange for their referral of blood work testing to Laboratory 3.

Rashid also received illegal kickbacks and bribes from (a) Dr. Ram
Gunabalan and others associated with Dr. Gunabalan's businesses, (b) individuals
associated with MRI Company 1; and (c) individuals associated with MRI
Company 2, all in exchange for the referral of patients from the Tri-County
Network and the ordering of MRI testing to be conducted by MRI Company 1 and
MRI Company 2. In regard to MRI Company 2, Rashid referred or caused the
referral of patients from the Tri-County Network to MRI Company 2 in exchange

for the referral of patients and ordering of urine drug testing by individuals associated with MRI Company 2 to be conducted by Rashid's companies (National Laboratories and Nat Lab).

Rashid also solicited illegal kickbacks and bribes from Mohammed Zahoor in exchange for the referral of patients from the Tri-County Network to Advanced Sleep Nuerodiagnostic, a company owned or controlled by Zahoor, for medically unnecessary sleep studies and other services. Rashid, Zahoor, and others paid illegal kickbacks and bribes to Medicare beneficiaries to participate in sleep studies and other testing that was medically unnecessary, not eligible for Medicare reimbursement, and/or not provided.

Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino and other physicians in the Tri-County
Network made referrals and/or ordered the testing described on pages 7-8 of this
Agreement, which were medically unnecessary, not eligible for Medicare
reimbursement, and/or not provided as represented. Rashid paid illegal kickbacks
and bribes to physicians in the Tri-County Network to induce the referral of
Medicare beneficiaries for home health and other services to providers specified by
Rashid and arrange for, order, or cause the arranging for or ordering of home health,
urine drug testing, ultrasounds, nerve conduction, EMG's, balance tests, MRI's, or
other testing by providers specified by Rashid.

After the establishment of National Laboratories in 2014, Rashid and others paid illegal kickbacks and bribes in exchange for the referral of patients and ordering of drug testing to be conducted by National Laboratories and Nat Lab.

Rashid paid these illegal kickbacks and bribes to Patient Recruiter 1, Patient Recruiter 2, and others.

Rashid knew that it was illegal under Michigan law for him to own a physician company because he was not a physician. Rashid also knew that it was illegal under the federal Anti-Kickback Statute to receive remuneration in exchange for the referral of patients from pain clinics that he owned or controlled to other providers that he owned or controlled. Rashid, Patino, Pappas, and others, through the use of interstate wires, concealed Rashid's illegal ownership of the Tri-County Network physician companies and violations of the Anti-Kickback Statute by submitting or causing the submission of false and fraudulent enrollment materials to Medicare that failed to disclose the ownership interest and managing control of Rashid and falsely represented that others, such as Patino and Pappas, owned and controlled the providers.

Rashid, Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino, and others facilitated and concealed the scheme, and obstructed investigations, enrollment suspensions, and overpayment demands by the Program Safeguard Contractor, ZPIC, and other law enforcement agencies by (a) making false statements and submitting or causing the

submission of falsified documentation; and (b) after the Program Safeguard Contractor or ZPIC determined that 100% of the claims by Global Quality and Tri-County Physicians, and 95% of the claims submitted by National Laboratories, were not eligible for Medicare reimbursement, creating new companies, obtaining Medicare billing privileges, and submitting or causing the submission of false and fraudulent claims through these new companies, in some instances changing only the name of the company on the door to the medical practice and/or inventing new suite numbers to conceal the continuation of the fraudulent practices at the same location.

During the conspiracy charged in the Superseding Indictment, Rashid, Pappas, Betro, Omar, Zahoor, Patino, and others submitted and caused the submission of false and fraudulent claims through interstate wire to Medicare in an amount in excess of \$150 million for services and testing that were obtained through illegal kickbacks and bribes, medically unnecessary, not eligible for Medicare reimbursement, and/or not provided as represented. The Tri-County Network was paid approximately \$49,457,829.50 as a result of the conspiracy charged in Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment. The Tri-County Network was paid approximately \$1,939,088.19 in illegal kickbacks and bribes.

As set forth in Count Nine of the Superseding Indictment, on or about April 13, 2016, Rashid knowingly engaged in the following monetary transaction by

through or to a financial institution, affecting interstate or foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, that is, the payment of funds, such property having been derived from a specified unlawful activity, that is, health care fraud and wire fraud: \$6,600,000 wire transfer to account x4026.

After his arrest, Rashid violated his bond conditions by using his wife's telephone to direct intermediaries to make contact with witnesses and one codefendant, Yasser Mozeb. Rashid was aware that this conduct constituted a violation of his conditions of release.

The preceding statement is a summary, made for the purpose of providing the Court with a factual basis for the defendant's guilty plea to the charge against him. It does not include all of the facts known to him concerning criminal activity in which he and others engaged. The defendant makes this statement knowingly and voluntarily and because he is in fact guilty of the crime charged.

2. SENTENCING GUIDELINES

A. Standard of Proof

The Court will find sentencing factors by a preponderance of the evidence.

B. Agreed Guideline Range

There are no sentencing guideline disputes, except in regard to one specific issue concerning the amount of loss: the government contends that the amount of loss is in excess of \$150 million and defendant contends that the amount of loss is

\$49,457,829.50. The only issue regarding loss that is permitted to be litigated at sentencing is whether intended loss within the meaning of the Sentencing Guidelines should be calculated based on the higher of the billed or paid amount, as the government contends, or based only on the paid amount, as defendant contends.

Except as provided below, defendant's Guidelines range is life imprisonment (if the government's position on loss is accepted by the Court) or 292-365 months imprisonment (if the defendant's position on loss is accepted by the Court), as set forth on the attached worksheets. If the Court finds:

- 1. That the defendant's criminal history category is higher than reflected on the attached worksheets, or
- 2. That the offense level should be higher because, after pleading guilty, the defendant made any false statement to or withheld information from his probation officer; otherwise demonstrated a lack of acceptance of responsibility for his offenses; or obstructed justice or committed any crime,

and if any such finding results in a guideline range higher than is recommended by the parties, then the higher guideline range becomes each party's recommended range. However, if the Court finds that the defendant is a career offender, an armed career criminal, or a repeat and dangerous sex offender as defined under the sentencing guidelines or other federal law, and that finding is not already reflected

in the attached worksheets, this paragraph does not authorize a corresponding increase in either party's recommended range. Neither party may take a position in this Court contrary to any position of that party reflected on the worksheets or worksheet addendum, except as necessary to the Court's determination regarding subsections 1) and 2), above.

3. **SENTENCE**

The Court will impose a sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553, and in doing so must consider the sentencing guideline range.

A. <u>Imprisonment</u>

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C), the sentence of imprisonment in this case may not exceed the top of the sentencing guideline range recommended by the government as described in Paragraph 2B.

B. <u>Supervised Release</u>

A term of supervised release, if imposed, follows the term of imprisonment.

There is no agreement on supervised release. In other words, the Court may impose any term of supervised release up to the statutory maximum term, which in this case is three years. The agreement concerning imprisonment described above in Paragraph 3A does not apply to any term of imprisonment that result from any later revocation of supervised release.

C. Special Assessment

The defendant will pay a special assessment of \$200. The defendant is required to pay the special assessment immediately after sentence is imposed and provide a receipt to the United States Attorney's Office within 24 hours of sentencing. The defendant is encouraged to voluntarily pay the special assessment before sentencing and bring the receipt to sentencing.

D. Fine

The Court may impose a fine in any amount up to \$250,000, or twice the pecuniary gain or loss, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d).

E. Restitution

The Court shall order restitution to every identifiable victim of the defendant's offense. The victims and the full amounts of restitution in this case are as follows:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: \$51,396,917.70.

F. <u>Forfeiture</u>

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(7) and/or § 981(a)(1)(C) with 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States his interest in all property, real and personal, which constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to Defendant's conspiracy to commit health care fraud and wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, as charged in Count One of the Superseding

Indictment. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1), Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States his interest all property involved in, or traceable to property involved in, money laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957, as charged in Count Nine of the Superseding Indictment.

Specifically, Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States his interest in the following:

- a. Real property located at 2990 W. Grand Boulevard, Detroit, Michigan, and being more fully described in the United States' Second Bill of Particulars (Dkt. # 132);
- b. Real property located at 2932-2942 West Grand Boulevard, Michigan and being more fully described in the United States' Second Bill of Particulars (Dkt. # 132);
- c. One Thousand Nine Hundred Seven Dollars and Eighty-Seven Cents (\$1,907.87) in funds from Bank of America Checking Account No. 3750-1329-2899, held in the name of National Laboratories;
- d. One Thousand Two Hundred Sixty One Dollars and Thirty Cents (\$1,261.30) in funds from Credit Union One Checking Account No. 3002070104, held in the name of Tri-County Physicians Group;
- e. Six Hundred Forty Seven Dollars and Eighty-Three Cents (\$647.83) in funds from Bank of America Checking Account No. 3750-1670-2991, held in the name of Tri-County Physicians Group;
- f. One Hundred Twenty Four Thousand Sixty-Four Dollars and Eighty Cents (\$124,064.80) in funds from Bank of America Checking Account No. 3750-1878-7312, held in the name of Tri-State Physicians Group, PC;
- g. Forty Two Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty Seven Dollars and Seventy-Five Cents (\$42,737.75) in funds from Bank of America

- Checking Account No. 3750-1878-7299, held in the name of New Center Medical, PC;
- h. One Thousand Four Hundred Eighty Five Dollars and Twenty-Five Cents (\$1,485.25) in funds from Credit Union One Joint Checking Account No. 3002070088, held in the name of Tri-County Wellness;
- i. Twenty One Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Two Thousand Dollars and Twenty Cents (\$21,662.20) in funds from Bank of America Checking Account No. 3750-1603-4737, held in the name of Tri-County Wellness;
- j. Sixty Four Thousand Six Hundred Eighty Dollars and Four Cents (\$64,680.04) in funds from Bank of America Account No. 3750-1213-3302, held in the name of Mashiyat Rashid;
- k. Three Million Six Hundred Fifty Thousand Four Hundred Thirteen Dollars and Twenty-One Cents (\$3,650,413.21) in funds from Bank of America Money Market Savings Account No. 3750-0935-8653 held in the name of Mashiyat Rashid;
- 1. Five Hundred and Eight Thousand Four Hundred Dollars (\$508,400) in U.S. Currency;
- m. All Funds on Deposit and All Other Items of Value in E-Trade IRA Account Number 35950075 (Approximately \$25,568.16 value, as of August 27, 2018);
- n. All Funds on Deposit and All Other Items of Value in U.S. Trust-Bank of America Private Wealth Management Account No. 56-01-100-3334026 (Approximately \$6,764,801.27 value, as of August 15, 2018);
- o. All Funds on Deposit and All Other Items of Value in Bank of America Checking Account No. 3750-1869-2025 (\$160,875.71);
- p. All Funds on Deposit and All Other Items of Value in Bank of America Account No. 3750-1399-8481(\$25,020.61); and

- q. All Funds on Deposit and All Other Items of Value in Bank of America Account No. 3750-1869-2740 (\$162,778.35);
- r. \$2000 Cash in lieu of Detroit Pistons Full Season Ticket Membership #6135954, specifically including a license to use tickets for seats 11, 12, 13, 14, in Row 17, Section 121, for all Detroit Pistons games at Little Caesars Arena during the 2017-2018 NBA regular season.

In addition, Defendant agrees to the entry of a forfeiture money judgment against him in favor of the United States in the amount of \$51,396,917.70, representing the total value of the property subject to forfeiture for Defendant's violation of Count One of the Superseding Indictment, to the extent such value is not satisfied by the specific forfeitures set forth above.

Defendant agrees that the forfeiture money judgment may be satisfied, to whatever extent possible, from any property owned or under the control of Defendant. To satisfy the money judgment, Defendant explicitly agrees to the forfeiture of any assets as he has now, or may later acquire, as substitute assets under 21 U.S.C. § 853(p)(2) and waives and relinquishes his rights to oppose the forfeiture of substitute assets under 21 U.S.C. § 853(p)(1) or otherwise.

Defendant agrees to the entry of one or more orders of forfeiture incorporating forfeiture of the assets enumerated in this section and the above-referenced money judgment, including the Court's prompt entry of a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture, following Defendant's guilty plea, upon application by the United States, at, or any time before, his sentencing in this case, as mandated by

Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2. Defendant agrees to sign such an order, indicating he consents to its entry if requested to do so by the Government.

Defendant agrees that he will cooperate with the United States by taking whatever steps are necessary to deliver clear title to property subject to forfeiture under this agreement to the United States and will execute such legal documents as may be required to transfer rights, title, and ownership to the United States and by taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that the property is not sold, disbursed, hidden, wasted, or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture.

Defendant acknowledges that he understands that the forfeiture of assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise him of this, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1)(J), or otherwise, at the time of his guilty plea is accepted.

In entering into this agreement with respect to forfeiture, Defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives any Double Jeopardy challenge, or any other challenge to the above-described forfeiture based on the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Defendant also agrees that Defendant shall assist the United States in all proceedings, whether administrative or judicial, involving the forfeiture, disgorgement, transfer, or surrender of all rights, title, and interest, regardless of their nature of form, in the property that Defendant has agreed to forfeit, disgorge,

transfer, or surrender, and any other assets, including real and personal property, cash, and other monetary instruments, wherever located, which Defendant or others to his knowledge have accumulated as a result of illegal activities.

Such assistance will include agreement by Defendant's heirs, successors, and assigns, to forfeiture, disgorgement, transfer, or surrender, to any interest in the above-described forfeiture. Such assistance will further involve an agreement by Defendant to the entry of an order enjoining the transfer or encumbrance of assets that may be identified as being subject to forfeiture, disgorgement, transfer, or surrender.

Defendant also agrees to identify all assets over which he exercises control, directly or indirectly, or has exercised such control, within the past five years. He will identify all assets in which he has or had during that time any financial interest will provide all necessary and appropriate documentation with respect to said assets, including consents to forfeiture, quit claim deeds, and any and all other documents necessary to deliver good and marketable title to said property.

Defendant agrees to take all steps as requested by the government to obtain from any other parties by any lawful means any records of assets owner at any time by Defendant. He also agrees to undergo any polygraph examination the government may choose to administer concerning such assets and to provide and/or consent to the release of his tax returns for the previous five years.

Non-Abatement of Criminal Forfeiture: Defendant agrees the forfeiture provisions of this Plea Agreement are intended to, and will, survive him, notwithstanding the abatement of any underlying criminal conviction after the execution of this agreement. The forfeitability of any particular property pursuant to this agreement shall be determined as if Defendant had survived, and that determination shall be binding upon Defendant's heirs, successors, and assigns, until the agreed forfeiture, including any agreed money judgment amount, is collected in full.

4. COOPERATION AGREEMENT

The written cooperation agreement between the defendant and the government is part of this plea agreement.

5. EXCLUSION FROM THE MEDICARE PROGRAM AND OTHER HEALTH CARE PROGRAMS

The defendant understands and acknowledges that as a result of this plea, the defendant will be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid, and all federal health care programs. The defendant agrees to complete and execute all necessary documents provided by any department or agency of the federal government, including but not limited to the United States Department of Health and Human Services, to effectuate this exclusion within 60 days of receiving the documents. This exclusion will not affect the defendant's right to apply for and receive benefits as a

beneficiary under any federal health care program, including Medicare and Medicaid.

6. COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCES OF CONVICTION

Defendant understands that his conviction here may carry additional consequences under federal and state law, including the potential loss of the right to vote, right to carry a firearm, right to serve on a jury, and ability to hold certain licenses or to be employed in certain fields. Defendant further understands that, if he is not a native-born citizen of the United States, there may be adverse immigration consequences resulting from conviction. These include possible removal from the United States, denial of citizenship, denaturalization, denied admission to the United States in the future and other possible consequences. Defendant understands that no one, including the defendant's attorney or the Court, can predict to a certainty the effect of defendant's conviction on any of these matters. Defendant nevertheless affirms that he chooses to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences or other collateral consequences of his conviction.

6. <u>USE OF WITHDRAWN GUILTY PLEA</u>

If the Court allows the defendant to withdraw his guilty plea for a "fair and just reason," pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(d)(2)(B), the defendant waives his rights under Fed. R. Evid. 410, and the government may use his guilty plea, any

statement made under oath at the plea hearing, and the factual basis statement in this plea agreement, against him in any proceeding.

7. RIGHT TO WITHDRAW

The government may withdraw from this agreement if the Court finds the correct guideline range to be different than is determined by Paragraph 2B.

The defendant may withdraw from this agreement, and may withdraw his guilty plea, if the Court decides to impose a sentence higher than the maximum allowed by Part 3. This is the only reason for which the defendant may withdraw from this agreement. The Court shall advise the defendant that if he does not withdraw his guilty plea under this circumstance, the Court may impose a sentence greater than the maximum allowed by Part 3.

8. **APPEAL WAIVER**

The defendant waives any right he may have to appeal his conviction on any grounds. If the defendant's sentence of imprisonment does not exceed the maximum allowed by Part 3 of this agreement, the defendant also waives any right he may have to appeal his sentence on any grounds. If the defendant's sentence of imprisonment is within the guideline range determined by Paragraph 2B, the government agrees not to appeal the sentence, but retains its right to appeal any sentence below that range. In addition to the foregoing provisions, the defendant hereby waives all rights to argue on appeal that the statute to which the defendant is

pleading guilty is unconstitutional and that the admitted conduct does not fall within the scope of the statute. This waiver shall not be construed to bar a claim by the defendant of ineffective assistance of counsel.

9. CONSEQUENCES OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA/VACATION OF CONVICTION

If the defendant is allowed to withdraw his guilty plea or if any conviction entered pursuant to this agreement is vacated, the Court shall, on the government's request, reinstate any charges that were dismissed as part of this agreement. If additional charges are filed against the defendant within six months after the date of the order vacating the defendant's conviction or allowing him to withdraw his guilty plea becomes final, which charges relate directly or indirectly to the conduct underlying the guilty plea or to any conduct reflected in the attached worksheets, the defendant waives his right to challenge the additional charges on the ground that they were not filed in a timely manner, including any claim that they were filed after the limitations period expired.

10. PARTIES TO THE PLEA AGREEMENT

Unless otherwise indicated, this agreement does not bind any government agency except the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section and the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Michigan.

11. SCOPE OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT

This agreement, which includes all documents that it explicitly incorporates, is the complete agreement between the parties. This agreement supersedes all other promises, representations, understandings and agreements between the parties concerning the subject matter of this plea agreement that were made at any time before the guilty plea is entered in court. Thus, no oral or written promises made by the government to the defendant or to the attorney for the defendant at any time before the defendant pleads guilty are binding except to the extent they have been explicitly incorporated into this agreement.

Notwithstanding the previous paragraph, if the defendant has entered into a proffer agreement in writing or a cooperation agreement in writing with the government, this plea agreement does not supersede or abrogate the terms of any such prior written agreement.

This agreement also does not prevent any civil or administrative actions against the defendant, or any forfeiture claim against any property, by the United States or any other party.

Date: 10/12/18

Wayne Bratt

Matthew Schneider United States Attorney

Chief, Health Care Fraud Unit United States Attorney's Office Date: 10/12/18

Malisa Dubal

Assistant Deputy Chief, Fraud Section U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal

Eastern District of Michigan

Division

Date: 10/12/18

Jacob Foster Trial Attorney

Department of Justice

Criminal Division, Fraud Section

By signing below, the defendant acknowledges that he has read (or been read) this entire document, understands it, and agrees to its terms. He also acknowledges that he is satisfied with his attorney's advice and representation. The defendant agrees that he has had a full and complete opportunity to confer with his lawyer and has had all of his questions answered by his lawyer.

Gerald J. Gleeson II, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Date: 16/15/18

Mashiyat Rashid

Defendant

10/15/18

WORKSHEET A OFFENSE LEVEL

Defendant Mashiya	at Rashid (USA Worksheet) District/O	ffice <u>Eastern District o</u>	f Michigan
Docket Number 17-2	20465		
Count Number(s) 1		SC 1349 ; 18 ;	USC 1957
Guidelines Manual 1	Edition Used: 20 <u>16</u> (Note: The Worksheets are keyed to the N	ovember 1, 2016 Guidel	ines Manual)
	INSTRUCTIONS		
Exceptions: Use only a aggregate value or qua	Worksheet A for each count of conviction or as required in a situal a single Worksheet A where the offense level for a group of closantity (see §3D1.2(d)) or where a count of conspiracy, solicitation, e object of the conspiracy, solicitation, or attempt (see §3D1.2(a) &	sely related counts is bas or attempt is grouped wi	sed primarily on
Enter the applica	(See Chapter Two) able base offense level and any specific offense characterist leterminations. Enter the sum in the box provided.	ics from Chapter Two ε	and explain the
Guideline	Description		Level
2B1.1(a)(2)	Base Offense Level		7
2B1.1(b)(1)	Loss		26
2B1.1(b)(7)	Health Care Fraud Enhancement		4 .
2B1.1(b)(10)	Sophisticated Means		2
reference, an add 2. Victim-Related Enter the applic	Two guideline requires application of a cross reference ditional Worksheet A may be needed for that analysis. See d Adjustments (See Chapter Three, Part A) cable section and adjustment. If more than one section is and enter the combined adjustment. If no adjustment is	§1B1.5.	39 4
Enter the applic	cable section and adjustment. If more than one section is and enter the combined adjustment. If the adjustment rater a minus (-) sign in front of the adjustment. If no adjurt".	educes the § 3B1.1	4
Enter the applic	djustments (See Chapter Three, Part C) cable section and adjustment. If more than one section is and enter the combined adjustment. If no adjustment is	applicable,	0
	of Items 1-4. If this Worksheet A does not cover all counts outtom of Worksheet B, complete Worksheet B. Otherw		
Worksheet A	f all counts (including situations listed at the bottom of Wo a. If so, no Worksheet B is used. ant has no criminal history, enter "I" here and on Workshe		

WORKSHEET B

MULTIPLE COUNTS*

Defendant Mashiyat Rashid (USA Worksheet)	Docket Number 17-20465
INSTRUCT	
STEP 1: Determine if any of the counts group under §3D1.2(a)-(d) ("the Some of the counts may have already been grouped in the application §3D1.2(d); or (2) a count charging conspiracy, solicitation, or attention (see §3D1.2(a)). Explain the reasons for grouping: The counts are grouped pursuant to 3D1.2(c) and 2S1.1 note 6.	ne grouping rules"). All, some, or none of the counts may group. on under Worksheet A. specifically: (1) counts grouped under
STEP 2: Using the box(es) provided below, for each group of "closely the four grouping rules), enter the highest adjusted offense level for group. See §3D1.3. Note that a "group" may consist of a single count the offense level for the group will be the adjusted offense level for the	rom Item 5 of the various Worksheets "A" that comprise the that has not grouped with any other count. In those instances,
 STEP 3: Enter the number of units to be assigned to each group (see One unit (1) for the group of counts with the highest An additional unit (1) for each group that is equally s An additional half unit (1/2) for each group that is 5 t No increase in units for groups that are 9 or more lev 	offense level serious or 1 to 4 levels less serious to 8 levels less serious
1. Adjusted Offense Level for the First Group of Count	S
Count number(s) 1 & 9	47 1 Unit
2. Adjusted Offense Level for the Second Group of C	ounts Unit
Count number(s)	was the control of th
3. Adjusted Offense Level for the Third Group of Cour	
Count number(s)	Unit
4. Adjusted Offense Level for the Fourth Group of Co	
Count number(s)	Unit
5. Adjusted Offense Level for the Fifth Group of Coun	ts
Count number(s)	Unit
6. Total Units	
Fall Could be a political or production and a state of the country	Total Units
7. Increase in Offense Level Based on Total Units (See	9 §3D1.4)
1½ units: add 1 level 3½ - 5 units: add	3 levels 4 levels 5 levels
8. Highest of the Adjusted Offense Levels from Items	1–5 Above
	47
9. Combined Adjusted Offense Level (See §3D1.4)	
Enter the sum of Items 7 & 8 here and on Worksheet	D, Item 1. 47
*Note: Worksheet B also includes applications that are done "as if the conspiracies (see §1B1.2(d)); offense guidelines that direct such applicational offenses (see §1B1.2(c)). Note also that these situations typical	tion (e.g., §2G2.1(d)(1) (Child Porn Production)); and stipulations to

WORKSHEET C

CRIMINAL HISTORY

[Page 1 of 2]

Defendant Mashiyat Rashid (USA Worksheet)	Defendant	Mashiyat Rashid (USA Worksheet)	
---	-----------	---------------------------------	--

Docket Number 17-20465

Note: As an oid, some of the basic criminal history "rules" are listed below. However, there are numerous additional criminal history rules at §§4A1.1 and 4A1.2 that must be used with Worksheet C and for correct application.

Enter the Earliest Date of the Defendant's Relevant Conduct

(The date of the defendant's commencement of the instant offense(s))

1. Prior Sentences Resulting from Offenses Committed Prior to the Defendant's 18th Birthday

- (a) 3 Points if convicted as an adult, for each prior sentence of imprisonment exceeding one year and one month imposed within 15 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct or resulting in incarceration during any part of that 15-year period. See §§4A1.1(a) and 4A1.2(d)(1) & (e)(1).
- (b) 2 Points for each prior adult or juvenile sentence of confinement of at least 60 days not counted under §4A1.1(a) imposed within 5 years or from which the defendant was released from confinement within 5 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(b) and 4A1.2(d)(2)(A).
- (c) 1 Point for each prior adult or juvenile sentence not counted under §4A1.1(a) or §4A1.1(b) imposed within 5 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(c) and 4A1.2(d)(2)(B).

Note: Identify as "adult" any sentence exceeding one year and one month that resulted from an adult conviction.

A release date is required in only two instances: (1) when a sentence covered under §4A1.1(a) was imposed more than 15 years prior to the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct but resulted in the defendant being incorcerated during any part of such 15-year period; or (2) when a sentence counted under §4A1.1(b) was imposed more than 5 years prior to the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct, but release from confinement occurred within such 5-year period.

Date of Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guideline Section	Criminal History Points
					(4)

2. Prior Sentences Resulting from Offenses Committed On or After the Defendant's 18th Birthday

- (a) 3 Points for each prior sentence of imprisonment exceeding one year and one month imposed within 15 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct or resulting in incarceration during any part of that 15-year period. See §§4A1.1(a) and 4A1.2(e)(1).
- (b) 2 Points for each prior sentence of imprisonment of at least 60 days not counted under §4A1.1(a) imposed within 10 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(b) and 4A1.2(e)(2).
- (c) 1 Point for each prior sentence not counted under §4A1.1(a) or §4A1.1(b) imposed within 10 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(c) and 4A1.2(e)(2).

Note: A release date is required when a sentence covered under §4A1.1(a) was imposed more than 15 years prior to the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct but resulted in the defendant being incarcerated during any part of such 15-year period.

Date of Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guideline Section	Criminal History Points
	•				
	4				

Worksheet C — Criminal History [Page 2 of 2]

Defendant Mashiyat Rashid (USA Worksheet)		Docket Number 17-20465			
(continued from A	Sentences Resulting from O	sfenses Committed On o	r After the Defe	ndant's 18th Bir	·thday)
Date of Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guideline Section	Criminal History Points
<u> </u>					
	ninal History Points for pr				
A total of 4 p	oints can be added for all th	ne 1-Point sentences con	unted in Items 1	& 2 combined.	
5. Crimes of V 1 Point for e any points u also included	each prior sentence resulting nder §4A1.1(a), (b), or (c) bo I another sentence resulting	g from a conviction of a ecause such sentence w g from a conviction for	as counted as a a crime of viole	single sentence nce. A total of 3	which points
Identify the	I under this subsection. Se crimes of violence and brienter 0 Points.	ee §4A1.1(e) and Applice of the call of th	eation Note 5, a uses are conside	ered a single se	ntence.
The state of the state of the state of	n ái History Points (Sum ó s tory Category: (Enter he		at D. Item 4)		
Total I		nal History Category		<u>在各位</u> 企业的基础中心的基础设施	A MARKET STATEMENT OF THE STATEMENT OF T
0- 2- 4- 7-	1 3 6	1 II III IV	-		
10- 13 or	12	V VI	111		

WORKSHEET D

DETERMINING THE SENTENCE

[Page 1 of 4]

Defendan	t Mashiyat Rashid (USA Worksheet)	Docket Number 17-20	465		
If Wo	sted Offense Level (From Worksheet A or B) rksheet B is required, enter the result from Workshe Worksheet A, Item 5.	et B, Item 9. Otherwise, e	nter the	result	47
	eptance of Responsibility (See Chapter Three, Pethologicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no adjustment		. W	hall wh	- 3
3. Offer	nse Level Total (Item 1 less Item 2)		And The St.		44
Enter	inal History Category (From Worksheet A or C) the result from Worksheet C, Item 8, unless the detect at the bottom of Worksheet A, no Worksheet C is us	fendant has no criminal		nd as	I
	rism; Career Offender; Criminal Livelihood; Arr Offender (See Chapter Three, Part A, and Chap		Repeat o	and Da	ngerous
lí C	offense Level Total the provision for Career Offender (§4B1.1), Crimi riminal (§4B1.4), or Repeat and Dangerous Sex Offende igher than Item 3, enter the offense level total. Otherw	er (§4B1.5) results in an of	Armed C	areer I total	N/A
lí or	Triminal History Category The provision for Terrorism (§3A1.4), Career Offender (Trepeat and Dangerous Sex Offender (§4B1.5) results tem 4, enter the applicable criminal history category.	in a criminal history categ	minal (§4) ory higher	B1.4), than	N/A
	eline Range from Sentencing Table			right.	
Enter	r the applicable guideline range from Chapter Five, Pa	rt A, in months.	Life	to Li	fe
	icted Guldeline Range (See Chapter Five, Part				
minir enter	e statutorily authorized maximum sentence or the num sentence restricts the guideline range (Item 6) (seither the restricted guideline range or any statutory that would modify the guideline range. Otherwise, or	see §§5G1.1 and 5G1.2), maximum or minimum	N/A	to 36	80
	Check here if §5C1.2 (Limitation on Applicability of 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e) – "The Safety Valve" – are appli	Statutory Minimum Pena	lties in C	ertain C	ases) and
8. Undi	scharged Term of Imprisonment; Anticipated St	ate Term of Imprisonme	nt (See §	5G1.3)	
	If the defendant is subject to an undischarged ter imprisonment, check this box. Below list the undis §5G1.3 and its direction or guidance as to whether concurrently or consecutively to the undischarged/a	charged/anticipated term(the instant federal sente	s), the app nce is to b	olicable e impos	section of ed to run

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 2 of 4]

Defendant	Mashiyat Rashid (USA Worksheet) Docket Number 17-20465
	icing Opilions (See Chapter Five: Sentencing Table and § §5β1.1 (a) and 5C(1.1). the applicable box that corresponds to the Guideline Range entered in Item 6 or Item 7, if applicable.
	Zone A (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(a) & (b))
	If checked, the following options are available:
	• Fine (See §§5C1.1(h) & 5E1.2(a))
	• "Straight" Probation (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(b))
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(1))
	Zone B (See §§5B1.1(a)(2) & 5C1.1(a) & (c))
	If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(2))
	 Imprisonment of at least one month plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(c)(2))
	 Probation with a condition that substitutes intermittent confinement, community confinement, or home detention for imprisonment (See §§5B1.1(a)(2) and 5C1.1(c)(3))
	Zone C (See §5C1.1(a) & (d))
	If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (d)(1))
	• Imprisonment of at least one-half of the minimum term plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(d)(2))
	Zone D (See §5C1.1(a) & (f))
~	If checked, the minimum term is to be satisfied by a sentence of imprisonment
10. Lengt	h of Term of Probation (See § 581.2)
lf prob	ation is imposed, the guideline for the length of such term of probation is: (Check the applicable box)
V	At least one year, but not more than five years if the offense level total is 6 or greater.
	No more than three years if the offense level total is 5 or less.

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 3 of 4]

Defend	iant <u>M</u> a	shiyat Rashid (USA Worksheet)	Docket Number 17-20465
11, Su	pervise	ed Release (See §§5D1,1 and 5D1,2)	
a.	Impos	ition of a Term of Supervised Release:	•
		Ordered because required by statute (See §5D)	1.1(a)(1)).
	/	Ordered because a sentence of imprisonment of	If more than one year is imposed (See §5D1.1(a)(2)).
		Is not ordered although a sentence of more to statute and the defendant likely will be deport	han one year is imposed, because it is not required by ted after imprisonment (See §5D1.1(c)).
		Ordered because it may be ordered in any other	er case (See §5D1.1(b)).
b.	Lengt	n of Term of Supervised Release	
	Check	the Class of the Offense:	· ·
		Class A or B Felony: Two to Five Year Term (S	ee §5D1.2(a)(1))
	/	Class C or D Felony: One to Three Year Term (See §5D1.2(a)(2))
		Class E Felony or Class A Misdemeanor: One Y	/ear Term (See §5D1.2(a)(3))
		If a statutorily required mandatory minimum guideline range for the applicable Class of Offer minimum term (See §5D1.2(c)):	term of supervised release for the offense impacts the ense above, also check this box, and list the statutory
		years mandatory minimum term of supe	rvised release
		If an offense in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) that serious bodily injury to another person; or if a less than the minimum term established above	t resulted in, or created a foreseeable risk of, death or sex offense, the term of supervised release will not be e, and may be up to life (See §5D1.2(b)).
		Policy Statement: If a sex offense, the statutor	y maximum term of supervised release is recommended.
12. Re	stitutio	n (See §5E1:1)	
a.		itution is applicable, enter the amount. Otherwi 196,917.70	se enter "N/A" and the reason:
b.		whether restitution is statutorily mandatory or latory	discretionary:
c.		whether restitution is by an order of restituti	on, or solely as a condition of supervision. Enter the
	Orde	r of restitution 18 USC 3663A	

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 4 of 4]

Defen	dant <u>Mashiy</u>	at Rashid (USA Worksheet)	Docket Number 17-20465	
13. Fir	nes (The G	videline Range for Fines for individual Defer	ndants) (See § 5E) ;2)	
a.	Special Fi	ne Provisions	Minimum	Maximum
	as	neck box if any of the counts of conviction is for a st special fine provision. (This <i>does not</i> include the goovisions of 18 USC § 3571(b)(2) & (d)).		
	Er	ter the sum of statutory maximum fines for all su	ich counts.	\$250,000
b.		e (§5E1.2(c)(3)) ater the minimum and maximum fines.	\$50,000	\$500,000
c.	(Determin	eline Range ed by the minimum of the Fine Table (Item 15(b aximum above (Item 15(a) or 15(b))).	s)) and the \$50,000	\$250,000
d.	Ability to	Pay		
	Ci	neck this box if the defendant does not have an ab	ility to pay.	
14. Sr	pecial Asse	essments for individual Déféridants (Sée. § 5	1181 1. V. S.	
E1 • •	\$100 for e \$25 for ea While not	l amount of the statutory special assessments reg ach felony count of conviction. ch Class A misdemeanor count of conviction. subject to guideline sentencing, the special assess ss C misdemeanor or infraction are \$10 and \$5 pe	ments for a Class B misdemear	or,
	TOTAL:	•		\$200
. 1144-1	are the second	May Warrant a Departure (See §1811(b))		
st	atements a	pter Five, Part H (Specific Offender Characterind commentary in the Guidelines Manual the List of Departure Provisions" included in the Gui	at might warrant considerating the Index	on in sentencing.
		·	1	
16. Fc	actors That	May Warrant a Variance (See §181:1(c))		
Co	onsider the	applicable factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) taken as	a whole.	
			·	
Com	pleted by	Jacob Foster	Date <u>9/20/2018</u>	

WORKSHEET A OFFENSE LEVEL

Defendant Mashiy	at Rashid	Dis	trict/Office <u>Eastern</u>	District of Mi	chigan
Docket Number 17-	20465				
Count Number(s) 1		U.S. Code Title & Section 18	USC 1349	; <u>18</u> : USC	1957
Guidelines Manual	Edition Used: 20 <u>16</u> (N	ote: The Worksheets are keyed t	to the November 1, 20	016 Guidelines	Manual)
	*	INSTRUCTIONS			
Exceptions: Use only aggregate value or qu	a single Worksheet A w antity (see §3D1.2(d)) or	nt of conviction or as required in here the offense level for a grou where a count of conspiracy, solic , solicitation, or attempt (see §3D)	p of closely related co citation, or attempt is	unts is based p	primarily on
Enter the applic		and any specific offense chara the sum in the box provided.	acteristics from Chap	pter Two and	explain the
Guideline		Description			Level
2B1.1(a)(2)	Base Offense Level				7
2B1.1(b)(1)	Loss				22
2B1.1(b)(7)	Health Care Fraud En	nancement			4
2B1.1(b)(10)	Sophisticated Means				2
reference, an ac 2. Victim-Relate Enter the appli	ditional Worksheet A d Adjustments (See cable section and adju	res application of a cross remay be needed for that analys Chapter Three, Part A) stment. If more than one second adjustment. If no adjustment.	is. See §1B1.5. tion is applicable,	Sum § 3A1.1	[35], 4
Enter the appli	cable section and adju n and enter the combi nter a minus (—) sign	See Chapter Three, Part B) stment. If more than one seconed adjustment. If the adjustin front of the adjustment. If	tion is applicable, ment reduces the	§ <u>3B1.1</u>	4
Enter the appli	cable section and adju	apter Three, Part C) stment. If more than one seconed adjustment. If no adjustm		§	_ 0
5. Adjusted Offe Enter the sum listed at the l Worksheet D, I	of Items 1-4. If this Woottom of Worksheet	orksheet A does not cover all B, complete Worksheet B.	counts of conviction Otherwise, enter t	or situations his result on	43
Worksheet A	A. If so, no Worksheet	r situations listed at the bottor B is used. istory, enter "I" here and on W			

WOLIIPLE COUNTS	747
Defendant Mashiyat Rashid Docket	Number 17-20465
INSTRUCTIONS STEP 1: Determine if any of the counts group under §3D1.2(a)-(d) ("the grouping rule Some of the counts may have already been grouped in the application under Works §3D1.2(d); or (2) a count charging conspiracy, solicitation, or attempt that is grou (see §3D1.2(a)). Explain the reasons for grouping: The counts are grouped pursuant to 3D1.2(c) and 2S1.1 note 6.	s"). All, some, or none of the counts may group. sheet A, specifically: (1) counts grouped under
	1
STEP 2: Using the box(es) provided below, for each group of "closely related counts" the four grouping rules), enter the highest adjusted offense level from Item 5 of t group. See §3D1.3. Note that a "group" may consist of a single count that has not group the offense level for the group will be the adjusted offense level for the single count.	he various Worksheets "A" that comprise the
 STEP 3: Enter the number of units to be assigned to each group (see §3D1.4) as followed. One unit (1) for the group of counts with the highest offense level. An additional unit (1) for each group that is equally serious or 1 to 4. An additional half unit (1/2) for each group that is 5 to 8 levels less s. No increase in units for groups that are 9 or more levels less serious. 	levels less serious
Adjusted Offense Level for the First Group of Counts	
Count number(s) 1 & 9	43 1 Unit
2. Adjusted Offense Level for the Second Group of Counts	
Count number(s)	Unit
3. Adjusted Offense Level for the Third Group of Counts	
Count number(s)	Unit
4. Adjusted Offense Level for the Fourth Group of Counts	
Count number(s)	Unit
5. Adjusted Offense Level for the Fifth Group of Counts	
Count number(s)	Unit
6. Total Units	
*	1 Total Units
7. Increase in Offense Level Based on Total Units (See §3D1.4)	
1 unit:no increase2½ - 3 units:add 3 levels1½ units:add 1 level3½ - 5 units:add 4 levels2 units:add 2 levelsMore than 5 units:add 5 levels	0
8. Highest of the Adjusted Offense Levels from Items 1–5 Above	
	43
9. Combined Adjusted Offense Level (See §3D1.4)	
Enter the sum of Items 7 & 8 here and on Worksheet D, Item 1.	43
*Note: Worksheet B also includes applications that are done "as if there were multiple conspiracies (see §1B1.2(d)); offense guidelines that direct such application (e.g., §2G2.1	counts of convictions," including: multiple-object (d)(1) (Child Porn Production)); and stipulations to

additional offenses (see §181.2(c)). Note also that these situations typically require the use of multiple Worksheets A.

WORKSHEET C

CRIMINAL HISTORY

[Page 1 of 2]

Defendant Mashiya	Rashid		Docket Numbe	r 17-20465	
Note: As an aid, som	e of the basic criminal histor	y "rules" are listed below. Howe neet C and for correct applicati	ver, there are nui	merous additional c	criminal history rules at
Enter the Earliest D	ate of the Defendant's	2 8 6 2 2			
1. Prior Sentenc	es Resulting from Off	enses Committed Prior	to the Defen	dant's 18th Bir	lhday
month im	posed within 15 years	t, for each prior sentence s of the defendant's earl nat 15-year period. See §§4	liest date of	relevant conduc	t or resulting in
(b) 2 Points (§4A1.1(a) i	or each prior adult or mposed within 5 years	juvenile sentence of conformation of from which the defendance relevant conduct. See §§4A	finement of <i>at</i> nt was release	least 60 days i	not counted under
(c) 1 Point fo	r each prior <i>adult or ju</i> the defendant's earliest	<i>ivenile sentence</i> not coundate of relevant conduct. S	ted under §4A See §§4A1.1(c)	1.1(a) or §4A1.1(and 4A1.2(d)(2)((b) imposed within B).
A release date is required defendant's earliest di (2) when a sentence	red in only two instances: (1 ate of relevant conduct bu counted under §4A1.1(b) w nement occurred within suc		der §4A1.1(a) wa: na incarcerated c	s imposed more tha luring any part of su	Jon 13-year pelloa, or
Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Date	Section	History Points
(a) 3 Points 15 years o that 15-ye	for each prior sentence f the defendant's earlie ar period. See §§4A1.1(a		ing one year t or resulting i	and one mont n incarceration	th imposed within during any part of
(b) 2 Points within 10	for each prior sentence years of the defendant's	of imprisonment of <i>at lea</i> searliest date of relevant c	st 60 days not onduct. See §§	counted under 4A1.1(b) and 4A	§4A1.1(a) imposed 1.2(e)(2).
(c) 1 Point for defendant	or each prior sentence 's earliest date of releva	not counted under §4A1.1 nt conduct. See §§4A1.1(c)	(a) or §4A1.1(and 4A1.2(e)(2	b) imposed with 2).	in 10 years of the
Note: A release date idate of relevant cond	s required when a sentence duct but resulted in the defe	covered under §4A1.1(a) was ir ndant being incarcerated durin	mposed more tha ng any part of suc	n 15 years prior to th h 15-year period.	ne defendant's earlies
Date of	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guideline Section	Criminal History Points

Imposition

Date

Worksheet C — Criminal History [Page 2 of 2]

Defendant Mashiyat Rashid			Docket Number 17-20465		
(continued from	n Sentences Resulting from	Offenses Committed On o	r After the Defe	ndant's 18th Bir	rthday)
Date of Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guldeline Section	Criminal History Points
		· ·			
	riminal History Points for points can be added for all				
imprisonm and Applic control. Of	while under any criminal nent, work release, or escap cation Note 4. List the type therwise, enter 0 Points.	e status) for a sentence (counted in Item	s 1 or 2. See §4	A1.1(d)
1 Point for any points also include can be ad Identify the	or each prior sentence results under §4A1.1(a), (b), or (c) ded another sentence result ded under this subsection. he crimes of violence and be, enter 0 Points.	because such sentence wing from a conviction for See §4A1.1(e) and Appli	vas counted as a a crime of viole cation Note 5, s	angle sentence ence. A total of 3 and §4A1.2(a)(2	e which 3 points
The Art Const. Provide the Constant Section (1999)	ninal History Points (Sum				
The second of the second	History Category (Enter al Points Cri	here and on Workshe minal History Categor	erD, liem 4) () 		The state of the s
	0-1 2-3 4-6 7-9	I II III IV			

WORKSHEET D

DETERMINING THE SENTENCE

[Page 1 of 4]

Defendant Mashiyat Rashid	Docket Number 17-20	465	***************************************
 Adjusted Offense Level (From Worksheet A or If Worksheet B is required, enter the result from Y from Worksheet A, Item 5. 		nter the r	result 43
 Acceptance of Responsibility (See Chapter I Enter the applicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no 		ry sako ki saki	-3
3. Offense Level Total (Item 1 less Item 2)			40
4. Criminal History Category (From Worksheet A Enter the result from Worksheet C, Item 8, unles directed at the bottom of Worksheet A, no Workshe	ss the defendant has no criminal		
 Terrorism; Career Offender; Criminal Liveling Sex Offender (See Chapter Three, Part A, and 		Repeat o	and Dangerous
a. Offense Level Total If the provision for Career Offender (§4B1.1 Criminal (§4B1.4), or Repeat and Dangerous Se higher than Item 3, enter the offense level total	x Offender (§4B1.5) results in an of	Armed C fense level	areer N/A
b. Criminal History Category If the provision for Terrorism (§3A1.4), Career O or Repeat and Dangerous Sex Offender (§4B1.5) Item 4, enter the applicable criminal history ca	results in a criminal history catego	minal (§4) ory higher	B1.4), than N/A
6. Guideline Range from Sentencing Table	The December of the Control of the C		
Enter the applicable guideline range from Chapter	Five, Part A, in months.	292	to 365
7. Restricted Guideline Range (See Chapter Fiv	e, Part G)		
If the statutorily authorized maximum sentence or the statutorily required minimum sentence restricts the guideline range (Item 6) (see §5G1.1 and 5G1.2), enter either the restricted guideline range or any statutory maximum or minimum penalty that would modify the guideline range. Otherwise, enter "N/A".		292	to 360
Check here if §5C1.2 (Limitation on Application 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e) – "The Safety Valve" – 8	ability of Statutory Minimum Pena are applicable.	lties in Co	ertain Cases) and
8. Undischarged Term of Imprisonment; Anticip	ated State Term of Imprisonme	nt (See §	5G1,3)
If the defendant is subject to an undischa imprisonment, check this box. Below list the \$5G1.3 and its direction or guidance as to concurrently or consecutively to the undischange of t	he undischarged/anticipated term(whether the instant federal senter	s), the app ace is to b	olicable section of e imposed to rur
,			

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 2 of 4]

Delendant	Mashiyat Rashid Docket Number 17-20465
	ncing Options (See Chapter Five, Sentencing Table and \$55B). I (a) and 5C1. I (b) the applicable box that corresponds to the Guideline Range entered in Item 6 or Item 7, if applicable.
	Zone A (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(a) & (b))
	If checked, the following options are available:
	• Fine (See §§5C1.1(b) & 5E1.2(a))
	• "Straight" Probation (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(b))
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(1))
	Zone B (See §§581.1(a)(2) & 5C1.1(a) & (c))
	If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(2))
	 Imprisonment of at least one month plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(c)(2))
٠,	 Probation with a condition that substitutes intermittent confinement, community confinement, or home detention for imprisonment (See §§5B1.1(a)(2) and 5C1.1(c)(3))
	Zone C (See §5C1.1(a) & (d))
	If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (d)(1))
	 Imprisonment of at least one-half of the minimum term plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(d)(2))
	Zone D (See §5C1.1(a) & (f))
	If checked, the minimum term is to be satisfied by a sentence of imprisonment
10. Lengil	n of Term of Probation (See § 5B1.2)
If prob	ation is imposed, the guideline for the length of such term of probation is: (Check the applicable box)
	At least one year, but not more than five years if the offense level total is 6 or greater.
	No more than three years if the offense level total is 5 or less.

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 3 of 4]

Defer	ndant <u>M</u> a	shiyat Rashid		Docket Number 17-20465	
11. S	upervisi	ed Release (See §§51	D1.1 and 5D1(2)		約
а	. Impos	lition of a Term of Super	rvised Release:	·	
		Ordered because requ	ired by statute (See §5D1.1(a))(1)).	
	V	Ordered because a sen	ntence of imprisonment of mo	re than one year is imposed (See §5D1.1(a)(2)).	
				one year is imposed, because it is not required before imprisonment (See §5D1.1(c)).	y
		Ordered because it ma	ay be ordered in any other cas	se (See §5D1.1(b)).	
b	. Lengt	h of Term of Supervised	Release		
	Check	the Class of the Offense	e:	<i>y</i>	
		Class A or B Felony: To	wo to Five Year Term (See §5	D1.2(a)(1))	
		Class C or D Felony: O	ne to Three Year Term (See §	55D1.2(a)(2))	
		Class E Felony or Clas	s A Misdemeanor: One Year	Term (See §5D1.2(a)(3))	
			e applicable Class of Offense s	of supervised release for the offense impacts the above, also check this box, and list the statutory	
		years mandator	y minimum term of supervise	ed release	
		serious bodily injury to	o another person; or if a sex o	alted in, or created a foreseeable risk of, death or offense, the term of supervised release will not be d may be up to life (See §5D1.2(b)).	
		Policy Statement: If a	sex offense, the statutory max	ximum term of supervised release is recommended	ì.
12, R	estitutio	n (See § 5E1.1)			
a.		= =	ter the amount. Otherwise en	ter "N/A" and the reason:	
	Φ 51,3	396,917.70			-
b.		whether restitution is s	tatutorily mandatory or discr	retionary:	_
c.					е
		r of restitution 18 U	SC 3663A		
					_

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 4 of 4]

Defendant M	ashiyat Rashid	Docket Number 17-20465		
13. Fines (Th	e Guldeline Range for Fines for Individual Defe	ndants) (See §5E1,2)		
a. Spec	al Fine Provisions	Minimum	Maximum	
	Check box if any of the counts of conviction is for a sa special fine provision. (This <i>does not</i> include the provisions of 18 USC § 3571(b)(2) & (d)).			
	Enter the sum of statutory maximum fines for all s	uch counts.	\$250,000	
b. Fine 1	able (§5E1.2(c)(3)) Enter the minimum and maximum fines.	\$50,000	\$500,000	
(Dete	Suideline Range rmined by the minimum of the Fine Table (Item 15 er maximum above (Item 15(a) or 15(b))).	(b)) and the \$50,000	\$250,000	
d. Abilit	y to Pay			
	Check this box if the defendant does not have an a	bility to pay.		
14. Special	Assessments for Individual Defendants (See §5	El/3)/		
\$100\$25 for While	e total amount of the statutory special assessments re for each felony count of conviction. Or each Class A misdemeanor count of conviction. Or not subject to guideline sentencing, the special asses Class C misdemeanor or infraction are \$10 and \$5 p	sments for a Class B misdemeanor,		
TOTA	L:		\$200	
	That May Warrant a Departure (See §181:1(b))		1.17	
statemen	Chapter Five, Part H (Specific Offender Character ts and commentary in the Guidelines Manual the "List of Departure Provisions" included in the Guidelines and the Guidelines of Departure Provisions included in the Guidelines and the Guidelines of Departure Provisions included in the Guidelines of Departure Provisions in the Guid	nat might warrant consideration	nd other policy in sentencing	
	That May Warrant a Variance (See § 18.1.1(c))) the applicable factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) taken a			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Completed	by Jacob Foster	Date <u>9/20/2018</u>		